

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: PACQUIAO–MAYWEATHER BOXING
MATCH PAY–PER–VIEW LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2639

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –1)

On August 14, 2015, the Panel transferred 8 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Central District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* _F.Supp.3d_ (J.P.M.L. 2015). Since that time, no additional action(s) have been transferred to the Central District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Robert Gary Klausner.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Central District of California and assigned to Judge Klausner.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Central District of California for the reasons stated in the order of August 14, 2015, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Robert Gary Klausner.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7–day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Aug 24, 2015

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

**IN RE: PACQUIAO–MAYWEATHER BOXING
MATCH PAY–PER–VIEW LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2639

SCHEDULE CTO–1 – TAG–ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN			
CAS	3	15–01006	McDonald et al v. Pacquiao et al
CAS	3	15–01025	Constantino v. Top Rank, Inc. et al
CONNECTICUT			
CT	3	15–00689	Alessi v. Top Rank, Inc. et al
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	0	15–60949	Barker et al v. Mayweather Promotions, LLC et al
FLS	0	15–60952	Scheffer v. Top Rank, Inc. et al
FLS	0	15–60964	Miller v. Top Rank, Inc. et al
ILLINOIS NORTHERN			
ILN	1	15–04232	Galandak et al v. Pacquiao et al
MICHIGAN EASTERN			
MIE	2	15–11936	Bradley v. Pacquiao et al
MIE	2	15–12424	Causey v. Top Rank, Inc. et al
NEVADA			
NV	2	15–00855	Assalian v. Top Rank, Inc. et al
NV	2	15–00877	Dehart et al v. Top Rank, Inc. et al
NEW JERSEY			
NJ	1	15–04540	SCHOFIELD et al v. SHOWTIME NETWORKS INC. et al
NJ	2	15–03286	NAZARIO v. TOP RANK, INC. et al
NEW MEXICO			

NM	2	15-00641	Villasenor v. Showtime Networks, Inc. et al
NEW YORK EASTERN			
NYE	1	15-02637	Vallaro v. Pacquiao et al
NYE	1	15-02716	Natal v. Top Rank, Inc. et al
NYE	1	15-03016	Bynum v. Pacquiao et al
NYE	2	15-02709	Brodsky et al v. Pacquiao et al
NEW YORK SOUTHERN			
NYS	1	15-03572	Braunstein v. Top Rank, Inc. et al
NYS	1	15-03740	Jimenez et al v. Top Rank, Inc. et al
PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN			
PAE	2	15-02511	GORDON et al v. SHOWTIME NETWORKS INC. et al
PAE	2	15-02516	CAPO v. TOP RANK, INC. et al
PUERTO RICO			
PR	3	15-01550	Mora-Rodriguez et al v. Pacquiao et al
SOUTH CAROLINA			
SC	7	15-02028	Thrailkill v. Top Rank Inc et al
TENNESSEE MIDDLE			
TNM	3	15-00592	Crabtree v. Pacquiao et al
TEXAS SOUTHERN			
TXS	3	15-00104	Bouchier v. Comcast Corporation et al